

## Kowalevski top and genus-2 curves

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 2125

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/34/11/306>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.124

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 08:50

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## Kowalevski top and genus-2 curves

**Dimitri Markushevich**

Mathématiques, bât. M2, Université Lille 1, F-59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex, France

E-mail: markushe@agat.univ-lille1.fr

Received 2 June 2000

### Abstract

Kowalevski's curve of genus 2 is related to two other curves arising from the solution of the Kowalevski top by the method of spectral curves in the case when the angular momentum of the top is orthogonal to the gravity vector. One is the Bobenko–Reyman–Semenov–Tian–Shansky curve of genus 2, the other is the spectral curve of the Kuznetsov–Tsiganov Lax matrix, of genus 3. The relations between the curves are given by correspondences, that is, multivalued maps, inducing isogenies of the corresponding Jacobian or Prym varieties.

PACS numbers: 4530, 0210, 0365

In [LM] we studied two curves of genus 2, such that the equations of motion of the Kowalevski top are linearized on their Jacobians: Kowalevski's [Kow],

$$C_1: \quad u^2 = x((x - H)^2 - \frac{1}{4}I_2)((x - H)^2 - \frac{1}{4}I_2 + 1)$$

and the Bobenko–Reyman–Semenov–Tian–Shansky (BRS) curve [BRS]

$$C_2: \quad u^2 = x(x^2 + 2Hx + \frac{1}{4}I_2)(x^2 + 2Hx + \frac{1}{4}I_2 - 1)$$

where  $H$  is the Hamiltonian and  $I_2$  is Kowalevski's integral of motion. Both equations are written for the case when the angular momentum  $l$  is orthogonal to the gravity vector  $g$ , because only in this case does the procedure of Bobenko–Reyman–Semenov–Tian–Shansky lead to a genus-2 curve. We established an isogeny between the Jacobians  $J(C_i)$  by purely algebraic means, using Richelot's transformation of a genus-2 curve.

The curve  $C_2$  arises in a quite natural way when one applies the method of spectral curves to the Lax representation of the Kowalevski top discovered by Reyman–Semenov–Tian–Shansky [R-STs]. The curve  $C_1$  was found by Kowalevski as a result of an ingenious change of variables, and it is quite surprising that no simple explanation of her trick, putting it within the framework of a more general procedure, has been suggested over a period of more than 100 years. The results of [LM] show how Kowalevski's curve appears from the spectral curve of the Lax representation of Reyman–Semenov–Tian–Shansky. This gives a partial answer to the above question. Now we will explain the relation of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  to the spectral curve  $B$  of

another Lax representation: that of Kuznetsov–Tsiganov [KT]. The latter exists under the same condition as that for the existence of  $C_2$ : the scalar product  $(l, g)$  should be zero.

This relation is based on the transformation of Kowalevski’s curve into a genus-3 curve introduced by Kuznetsov [K1, K2, KK] in his solution to the problem of separation of variables for the Kowalevski top. Note that it exists for arbitrary  $(l, g)$ , but we use it only in the case  $(l, g) = 0$ . It yields the following diagram of morphisms of (smooth compact complex) curves:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_1 & \xleftarrow{f} & B_1 \\ & & \pi \downarrow \\ & & E_1 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where  $g(C_1) = 2$ ,  $g(B_1) = 3$ ,  $g(E_1) = 1$ , and  $f, \pi$  are double coverings;  $f$  is unramified, and  $\pi$  is ramified at four points.

We prove that the diagram induces an isogeny  $P(B_1/E_1) \longrightarrow J(C_1)$  with kernel of order eight and another one  $J(C_1) \longrightarrow P(B_1/E_1)$  in the opposite direction with kernel of order two. Next, we fulfil a parallel construction for another curve of genus 3, namely, the spectral curve  $B_2 = B$  of the Kuznetsov–Tsiganov  $L$ -matrix:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C'_2 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{f}} & B_2 \\ & & \tilde{\pi} \downarrow \\ & & E_2 \end{array} \quad (2)$$

where the genera of curves and the properties of the morphisms are the same as in the preceding diagram. It turns out that  $C'_2$  is nothing else but the BRS curve  $C_2$ . So, we find another pair of isogenies between  $P(B_2/E_2)$ ,  $J(C_2)$  with kernels of orders two and eight. Combining them with the Richelot isogeny  $J(C_2) \longrightarrow J(C_1)$  (with kernel of order four), we obtain an isogeny between the Prym varieties  $P(B_i/E_i)$  with kernel of order 64. It factors through the multiplication by 2, hence there also exists an isogeny between  $P(B_i/E_i)$  of degree four.

To summarize, we have the following relations between the above curves. The curves  $B_2$ ,  $C_2$  are ‘natural’ in the sense that they come from a general technique of integrable systems (method of spectral curves) but this general technique is applied to different Lax matrices.  $B_2$ ,  $C_2$  are related to each other by the analogue of Kuznetsov’s transformation, which he originally applied to the other pair of curves  $B_1, C_1$ . Now,  $B_1, C_1$  cannot be obtained by the method of spectral curves from the known Lax representations. Nonetheless,  $C_1, C_2$  are connected by Richelot’s transformation, so  $B_1, C_1$  become indirectly related to  $B_2, C_2$ . Note that for generic constants of motion  $H, I_2$ , there are no non-trivial maps between any two curves from different triples  $B_i, C_i, E_i, i = 1, 2$ , but there exists a  $(2, 2)$ -correspondence  $C_1 \longleftrightarrow C_2$ . One can see that the curves  $E_1, E_2$ , as well as the Jacobian threefolds  $J(B_1), J(B_2)$ , are not isogeneous, though the latter ones contain isogeneous Abelian surfaces  $P(B_i/E_i)$ .

One can think of Kuznetsov’s transformation as a way to pass from the Jacobian of a curve of genus 2 to an isogeneous Prym variety of a double covering  $B \longrightarrow E$  of a genus-3 curve over an elliptic curve. As such, it represents a particular case of Audin’s construction, described in section 5.3 of her book [Au].

### 1. Generalities

We will use the notation and the normalization of dimensional parameters from [BRS]. The top represents a solid with a fixed point  $O$  in a constant gravity field. There are six dynamical variables: three components of the angular momentum  $l = (l_1, l_2, l_3)$  and three components of the gravity vector  $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ , everything with respect to a moving orthonormal frame, attached to the solid. The motion of the top can be described by the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dl}{dt} &= [l, \omega] + [c, g] \\ \frac{dg}{dt} &= [g, \omega] \\ l &= I\omega \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $c$  is the constant vector of the centre of mass and  $I$  is the inertia tensor. The system (3) is Hamiltonian with respect to the Poisson brackets

$$\{l_i, l_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk}l_k \quad \{l_i, g_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk}g_k \quad \{g_i, g_j\} = 0.$$

In Kowalevski’s integrable case, the principal inertia moments have the ratio  $2m : 2m : m$  and  $c$  lies in the plane, perpendicular to the symmetry axis. One can normalize the parameters so that  $I = \text{diag}(1, 1, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $c = (1, 0, 0)$  and  $|g| = 1$ . The problem possesses a trivial integral of motion, the scalar product  $(l, g)$ , which we will consider as a parameter. Fixing its value  $(l, g) = \delta$ , we obtain a four-dimensional phase space, which is a symplectic manifold. The Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(l_1^2 + l_2^2 + 2l_3^2) - g_1$$

Poisson commutes with another, Kowalevski’s first integral

$$I_2 = (l_1^2 - l_2^2 + 2g_1)^2 + 4(l_1l_2 + g_2)^2$$

and the common level sets of  $H, I_2$  represent the Liouville tori in our symplectic 4-fold.

The first step of Kowalevski’s solution to the problem is the complexification: she considers  $x = l_1 + il_2, y = l_1 - il_2$  as independent complex variables. Next she makes her famous change of variables  $\xi_1 = \xi_1(x, y), \xi_2 = \xi_2(x, y)$ , which we will not specify here. It brings the system (3) to the form

$$\frac{d\xi_1/dt}{\eta_1} + \frac{d\xi_2/dt}{\eta_2} = 0 \quad \frac{\xi_1 d\xi_1/dt}{\eta_1} + \frac{\xi_2 d\xi_2/dt}{\eta_2} = \sqrt{-2} \tag{4}$$

where the two points  $(\xi_i, \eta_i), i = 1, 2$ , belong to *Kowalevski’s curve*  $C_1$  of genus 2

$$\eta^2 = [\xi((\xi - H)^2 + 1 - \frac{1}{4}I_2) - \delta^2][(\xi - H)^2 - \frac{1}{4}I_2].$$

Equations (4) represent thus a system of ODE on the symmetric square  $\text{Sym}^2(C_1)$  of  $C_1$ . The Abel–Jacobi map

$$\begin{aligned} AJ : \text{Sym}^2 C_1 &\longrightarrow J(C_1) = \Omega_{C_1}^*/H_1(C_1, \mathbb{Z}) \\ (P_1, P_2) &\mapsto \int_{P_0}^{P_1} + \int_{P_0}^{P_2} \text{ mod } H_1(C_1, \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

maps birationally the symmetric square onto the Jacobian  $J(C_1)$ ; here the integrals are considered as linear functionals on the space  $\Omega_{C_1}$  of holomorphic 1-forms, defined modulo the period lattice  $H_1(C_1, \mathbb{Z})$ . This allows us to consider (4) as the linearized system

$\dot{X} = V_0 = \text{constant}$  on the Jacobian, where  $V_0 = (0, \sqrt{-2})$  in the basis of  $T_0J(C_1) = \Omega_{C_1}^*$ , dual to the basis  $(d\xi/\eta, \xi d\xi/\eta)$  of  $\Omega_{C_1}$ .

The method of spectral curves, applied to the Lax representation of Reyman–Semenov–Tian–Shansky, brings us to a similar linearized equation  $\dot{Y} = W_0 = \text{constant}$  on the Prym variety  $P(C_2/E_2)$  of a double unramified covering of curves  $C_2 \xrightarrow{2:1} E_2$ . Generically, the genera of  $C_2, E_2$  are equal to 3 and 1, respectively. However, if we restrict ourselves to the symplectic leaf  $\delta = 0$ , we have  $g(C_2) = 2, g(E_2) = 0$ , so the Prymian  $P(C_2/E_2)$  becomes simply the Jacobian  $J(C_2)$ . In this case we find two different curves of genus 2 with the same property that the Hamiltonian flow of the Kowalevski top is linearized on their Jacobians:  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ . The authors of [BRS] wrote out the equation of  $C_2$  and raised the question concerning the relation between the two curves. We will call  $C_2$  the Bobenko–Reyman–Semenov–Tian–Shansky (BRS) curve. Note that none of the Jacobians is isomorphic to the complex Liouville torus, but only isogeneous to it.

The following theorem is the main result of [LM].

**Theorem 1.** *Assume  $\delta = 0$ , that is  $l \perp g$ . Then  $C_1, C_2$  are related by the Richelot transformation which induces an isogeny  $\psi : J(C_2) \rightarrow J(C_1)$  with kernel  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . This isogeny transforms the flow of  $W_0$  into that of  $V_0$ .*

We will describe briefly the way in which the curve  $C_2$  appears and postpone the definition of the Richelot isogeny until the next section.

The Lax pair of Reyman–Semenov–Tian–Shansky yields a more general system, called the Kowalevski gyrostat. If we set the gyrostatic terms to 0, we will obtain the equation

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = [L, M] \tag{5}$$

with the Lax matrix

$$L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} g_1 & g_2 & g_3 & 0 \\ g_2 & -g_1 & 0 & -g_3 \\ g_3 & 0 & -g_1 & g_2 \\ 0 & -g_3 & g_2 & g_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -l_2 & -l_1 \\ 0 & 0 & l_1 & -l_2 \\ l_2 & -l_1 & 0 & -2l_3 \\ l_1 & l_2 & 2l_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $[L, M] = LM - ML$  for some matrix  $M$ , which we will not make explicit here. The functions  $H, \delta^2$  and  $I_2$  belong to the algebra generated by the coefficients of  $\lambda^{-2}$  and  $\lambda^0$  in the Laurent expansions of  $\text{Tr}(L(\lambda)^2)$  and  $\text{Tr}(L(\lambda)^4)$ . Since these coefficients are invariant under the flow of (5), the spectral curve  $P(\lambda, \mu) = 0$  is also invariant, where

$$P(\lambda, \mu) = \det(L(\lambda) - \mu).$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be the non-singular compactification of the spectral curve, and  $L(t)$  a solution of (5). Then we have the line bundle  $E_t$  of eigenvectors of  $L(t)$  on  $\Gamma$ . It is defined *a priori* on a Zariski open subset of  $\Gamma$ , but it is uniquely extended to all of  $\Gamma$  as a line subbundle of the trivial vector bundle  $\mathbb{C}^4 \times \Gamma$ . According to [Au], section 3.2.3, the degree of  $E_t$  is eight, so that the isomorphism class  $[E_t]$  is an element of  $\text{Pic}^8(\Gamma)$ . Choosing a reference point  $P_0 \in C_2$ , we can write  $\text{Pic}^8(\Gamma) = 8[P_0] + J(\Gamma)$ , which identifies  $\text{Pic}^8(\Gamma)$  with  $J(\Gamma)$ , hence the evolution equation for  $[E_t]$  can be written on  $J(\Gamma)$ . The following theorem is proved in [BRS].

**Theorem 2 (Bobenko–Reyman–Semenov-Tian-Shansky).** *The evolution equation for  $[E_t]$  has the form*

$$\frac{d[E_t]}{dt} = W_0 = \text{constant} \tag{6}$$

where the vector  $W_0 \in \Omega_{\Gamma}^*$  is given via its values on the elements  $\omega$  of the dual space:

$$\langle \omega | W_0 \rangle = \sum_{P:\lambda(P)=\infty} \text{Res}_P \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega \right).$$

Further,  $\Gamma$  possesses two commuting involutions, changing the signs of coordinates:

$$\tau_1 : (\lambda, \mu) \mapsto (-\lambda, \mu) \quad \tau_2 : (\lambda, \mu) \mapsto (\lambda, -\mu).$$

Let  $C_2 = \Gamma / \langle \tau_1 \rangle$ ,  $E = \Gamma / \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$  be the quotients. Then the flow of (6) is constrained to the image  $\pi^* \text{Pic}^4(C_2) \subset \text{Pic}^8(\Gamma)$  and tangent to  $P(C_2/E)$ , where  $\pi : \Gamma \xrightarrow{2:1} C_2$  is the quotient map.

From now on, we assume  $\delta = 0$ . Then, as we mentioned above, the genus of  $C_2$  is 2 and  $P(C_2/E) = J(C_2)$ . By an appropriate change of variables  $(\lambda^2, \mu) \rightarrow (x, y)$ , the authors of [BRS] bring the equation of  $C_2$  to the standard form

$$y^2 = x(x^2 + 2Hx + \frac{1}{4}I_2)(x^2 + 2Hx + \frac{1}{4}I_2 - 1). \tag{7}$$

The application of the formula for  $W_0$  from the above theorem yields  $W_0 = (0, -\sqrt{2})$  in the basis of  $\Omega_{C_2}^*$ , dual to  $(dx/y, x dx/y)$ .

**2. Richelot’s transformation of the BRS curve**

Richelot’s transformation was first introduced in 1836 by Richelot [R1, R2] in the problem of the approximate calculation of hyperelliptic integrals of genus 2. By an appropriate iteration of this transformation, he obtained a sequence of genus-2 curves converging very rapidly to a rational curve, which allowed us to approximate the hyperelliptic integrals by integrals of rational functions. Later the Richelot transform reappeared in 1901, in the work of Humbert [H], where he studied its action on two-dimensional theta-functions (see [BM, CF] for more details).

Let  $C$  be a genus-2 curve defined by an equation

$$y^2 = P_1(x)P_2(x)P_3(x)$$

where  $P_j(x)$  are quadratic polynomials without multiple or common roots in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ . The fact that we are looking at the roots in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$  rather than those in  $\mathbb{C}$  means that we admit the case when one of the quadratic polynomials is, in fact, linear, and then  $\infty$  is one of its roots. Let  $C'$  be the curve defined by the equation

$$\Delta y_1^2 = U_1(z)U_2(z)U_3(z)$$

where

$$U_{i+2}(z) = [P_i, P_{i+1}] = P'_i(z)P_{i+1}(z) - P_i(z)P'_{i+1}(z)$$

(addition of subscripts modulo 3) and  $\Delta = \det(P_1 P_2 P_3)$  is the determinant of the  $3 \times 3$  matrix of coefficients of the  $P_i$ .  $C'$  is called the *Richelot transform* of  $C$ . It depends on the partition of the six roots of the degree six polynomial defining  $C$  into three pairs. If one reapplies the Richelot transform to  $C'$  with the natural partition into pairs, again one will find  $C$  up to the

scaling of the variable  $y$ , so that the Richelot transform is an involution in this case. However, if one changes the partition on each step, one can obtain an infinite sequence of non-isomorphic curves.

The ubiquity of the Richelot transform is in the possibility to transport the Abelian differentials from one curve to the other. The standard way to transport the 1-forms from one variety to another is the pullback with respect to a map between them. In our case, this does not work: there are no non-constant maps between  $C$  and  $C'$ . However, there is a nice substitute for a map—a  $(2, 2)$ -correspondence. It is a curve  $Z \subset C \times C'$  with double projections to both factors (one can think of it as the graph of a bivalued map from  $C$  to  $C'$ , or in the opposite direction, from  $C'$  to  $C$ ). It is defined by the explicit equations

$$Z = \begin{cases} P_1(x)U_1(z) + P_2(x)U_2(z) = 0 \\ yy_1 = P_1(x)U_1(z)(x - z). \end{cases}$$

Some other equations satisfied on the same set  $Z$

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 P_2 P_3 &= U_1 y(x - z) & y_1 P_1 P_3 &= -U_2 y(x - z) \\ y U_2 U_3 &= \Delta P_1 y_1(x - z) & y U_1 U_3 &= -\Delta P_2 y_1(x - z) \end{aligned}$$

and the identity

$$P_1(x)U_1(z) + P_2(x)U_2(z) + P_3(x)U_3(z) + (x - z)^2 \Delta \equiv 0 \quad \forall (x, z) \in \mathbb{C}^2$$

are useful in the proofs.

The induced map  $\delta_Z : \Omega_{C'} \rightarrow \Omega_C$  between the two-dimensional vector spaces of 1-forms is defined by  $\delta_Z = p_{1*} p_2^*$ , where  $p_1, p_2$  are the natural projections from  $Z$  to  $C$ , respectively  $C'$ ,  $p_2^*$  is the usual pullback of exterior forms and  $p_{1*}$  is the trace map for the unramified double covering  $p_1$ , a particular case of the integration over the fibres of a smooth map. This map  $\delta_Z$  is calculated in [BM]:  $\delta_Z(S(z) \frac{dz}{y_1}) = S(x) \frac{dx}{y}$  for  $S$  a polynomial of degree  $\leq 1$ .

Besides the transformation of Abelian differentials, the correspondence induces an isogeny  $\psi_Z : J(C) \rightarrow J(C')$  between the Jacobians of the two curves. For the definition, represent  $J(C)$  as the Abelian group of divisor classes  $[\sum n_i P_i]$  modulo divisors of meromorphic functions, where  $P_i \in C$  are points of the curve, and  $n_i$  are integers such that  $\sum n_i = 0$ . Then define

$$\psi_Z \left( \left[ \sum n_i P_i \right] \right) = \left[ \sum n_i p_2 p_1^{-1} P_i \right].$$

The Abel–Jacobi isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} AJ : J(C) &\rightarrow \Omega_C^* / H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \left[ \sum n_i P_i \right] &\mapsto \sum n_i \int_{P_0}^{P_i} \text{mod } H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

together with the Newton–Leibnitz rule of derivation of the integral with respect to the upper limit implies that  $\delta_Z = (d_0 \psi_Z)^*$ , the adjoint of the differential of  $\psi_Z$ . This proves the assertion of theorem 1 concerning the transformation of the flows of  $V_0, W_0$ .

The following lemma gives the kernel of  $\psi_Z$  which will be used in section 4.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $a_i, a'_i$  be the roots of the polynomial  $P_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then the kernel of  $\psi_Z$  is the subgroup  $\{0, [(a_1, 0) - (a'_1, 0)], [(a_2, 0) - (a'_2, 0)], [(a_3, 0) - (a'_3, 0)]\} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 2}$  of the group  $J(C)_2 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 4}$  of points of order two in  $J(C)$ .*

**Proof.** The inclusion ‘ $\supset$ ’ is obvious. Indeed,  $p_2 p_1^{-1}((a_i, 0)) = p_2 p_1^{-1}((a'_i, 0)) = \{(u_i, 0), (u'_i, 0)\}$ , where  $u_i, u'_i$  are the roots of  $U_i(z)$ , hence  $p_2 p_1^{-1}((a_i, 0) - (a'_i, 0)) = 0$ . The opposite inclusion follows from the observation that the iteration of the Richelot isogeny  $J(C) \xrightarrow{\psi} J(C') \xrightarrow{\psi'} J(C'')$  produces the map of multiplication by 2 on the Jacobian of  $C'' \simeq C$ , and its kernel  $J(C)_2$  contains 16 elements. Hence  $|\ker \psi| = |\ker \psi'| = 4$ .  $\square$

Now apply the Richelot transform to the BRS curve  $C = C_2$  with  $P_1(x) = x$ ,  $P_2(x) = x^2 + 2Hx + \frac{1}{4}I_2$ ,  $P_3(x) = x^2 + 2Hx + \frac{1}{4}I_2 - 1$ . We will obtain the curve

$$y_1^2 = -2(z + H)(z^2 + 1 - \frac{1}{4}I_2)(z^2 - \frac{1}{4}I_2)$$

which differs from Kowalevski’s curve for  $\delta = 0$  only by the transformation  $\eta = \sqrt{-2}y_1, \xi = z + H$ .

### 3. Kuznetsov–Tsiganov Lax representation

The authors of [KT] start from the  $(2 \times 2)$  Lax matrix for the Neumann system with one spectral parameter  $u$

$$L_N = \begin{bmatrix} u^2 - 2l_3 u - l_1^2 - l_2^2 - \frac{2\alpha}{g_3^2} & ib((g_1 + ig_2)u - g_3(l_1 + il_2)) \\ ib((g_1 - ig_2)u - g_3(l_1 - il_2)) & b^2 g_3^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

having for Hamiltonian

$$H_N = \frac{1}{2}(l_1^2 + l_2^2 - b^2 g_3^2) + \frac{\alpha}{g_3^2}.$$

They construct from it a Lax matrix for the Kowalevski–Goryachev–Chaplygin top (as before,  $\delta = (l, g) = 0$ ) using the following formula:

$$L_{KGCT} = K_+(u + 2i\kappa)L_N(u)K_-(u - 2i\kappa)\sigma_2 L_N^t(-u)\sigma_2$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= H^2 - \frac{1}{4}I_2 + \frac{1}{2} \\ K_-(u) &= \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & u \\ -\beta_1 u & \alpha_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad K_+(u) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_2 & \beta_2 u \\ -u & \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hamiltonian has the form

$$H_{KGCT} = \frac{1}{2}(l_1^2 + l_2^2 + 2l_3^2) + c_1 g_1 + c_2 g_2 + c_3(g_1^2 - g_2^2) + c_4 g_1 g_2 + \frac{c_5}{g_3^2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{1}{2}ib(\alpha_2 - \alpha_1) & c_2 &= \frac{1}{2}b(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) & c_3 &= -\frac{1}{4}b^2(\beta_1 + \beta_2) \\ c_4 &= \frac{1}{2}ib^2(\beta_2 - \beta_1) & c_5 &= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

One obtains the Kowalevski top from this by putting

$$\alpha_1 = i \quad \alpha_2 = -i \quad b = \frac{1}{2} \quad \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \alpha = 0.$$

It yields the following spectral curve:

$$B = \left\{ \lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda} = -4(u^4 - 2Hu^2 + \tilde{\kappa}) \right\} \quad \tilde{\kappa} = \frac{1}{4}I_2 - \frac{1}{2}. \tag{8}$$

In the next section, we will relate it to the curves of genus 2  $C_1, C_2$  introduced above.



### 4. Kuznetsov’s transformation

Let

$$C_1 = \{\eta^2 = P_5(\xi)\}$$

$$P_5(\xi) = \xi((\xi - H)^2 + 1 - \frac{1}{4}I_2)((\xi - H)^2 - \frac{1}{4}I_2)$$

be the Kowalevski curve for the case  $(l, g) = 0$ . Kowalevski’s equations (4) represent a system of two ODEs in two independent variables  $\xi_i$ , and  $\eta_i$  can be eliminated by  $\eta_i = \sqrt{P_5(\xi_i)}$ . Kuznetsov introduces the new unknown functions—the canonically conjugated momenta  $p_i = 2 \int \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi_i} \frac{d\xi_i}{\xi_i}$ , which allow us to separate variables in (4). The relation between  $p_i$  and  $\xi_i$  is transcendental, but if we introduce  $\lambda_i = \exp(\pm 2\sqrt{-2\xi_i} p_i)$ , then the pair  $\lambda_i, \sqrt{\pm \xi_i}$  will satisfy an algebraic relation which is an equation of a genus-3 curve. Let us fix the choices of signs, which determine the change of variables and our new genus-3 curve  $B_1$ :

$$u = \sqrt{\xi}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{2\eta + \sqrt{4\eta^2 + \xi}}{\xi}$$

$$B_1 = \left\{ \lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda} = 4(u^4 - 2Hu^2 + \kappa) \right\}$$

where  $\kappa = H^2 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}I_2$ . We solve the quadratic equation in  $\lambda$  to write down the equation of  $B_1$  in the standard hyperelliptic form  $\mu^2 = P_8(u)$ , where  $\mu = \lambda - 2(u^4 - 2Hu^2 + \kappa)$  and  $4P_8(u)$  is the discriminant. We have

$$u = \sqrt{\xi} \quad \xi = u^2$$

$$\mu = \frac{2\eta}{\sqrt{\xi}} \quad \eta = \frac{\mu u}{2} \tag{9}$$

$$B_1 = \left\{ \mu^2 = 4(u^4 - 2Hu^2 + \kappa - \frac{1}{2})(u^4 - 2Hu^2 + \kappa + \frac{1}{2}) \right\}. \tag{10}$$

The elliptic curve  $E_1$  and the map  $\pi$  from the diagram (1) are obtained by substituting  $\xi = u^2$  in (10), whereas formulae (9) define the map  $f$ . One can immediately verify that  $f$  is unramified and  $\pi$  is ramified at four points  $(0, \pm\mu_0), (\infty, \pm\infty)$ , where  $\mu_0 = \sqrt{4\kappa^2 - 1}$ . The Prym variety  $P(B_1/E_1)$ , by definition, is the connected component of 0 in the kernel of the map  $\pi_* : J(B_1) \rightarrow J(E_1)$  and can be understood as the subvariety of  $J(B_1)$  of divisor classes  $[\sum_k ((u_k, \mu_k) - (-u_k, \mu_k))]$ . As  $\dim P(B_1/E_1) = 2$  in our case, the sums with  $k$  taking only two values 1, 2 will suffice. One can easily see that  $P(B_1/E_1)$  coincides with the image of  $f^* : J(C_1) \rightarrow J(B_1)$ . Indeed, the inclusion  $\text{im } f^* \subset \ker \pi_*$  follows from the observation that the class of the divisor  $\pi_* \circ f^*((\xi, \eta) + (\xi', \eta'))$  is constant in  $\text{Pic}^4(E_1)$ , namely, it is the lift of the class of degree two from  $\mathbb{P}^1$  via the double covering map  $E_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, (\xi, \mu) \mapsto \xi$ . The opposite inclusion follows from the fact that the kernel of  $f^*$  is finite, which we will now prove.

Let  $f_* : J(B_1) \rightarrow J(C_1)$  be the natural map and  $\varphi = f_*|_{P(B_1/E_1)}$ . Then  $\varphi \circ f^* = f_* \circ f^* = 2 \text{id}_{J(C_1)}$ , hence the kernels of  $\varphi, f^*$  are sums of a number of copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $|\ker \varphi| \cdot |\ker f^*| = |\ker 2 \text{id}_{J(C_1)}| = 16$ .

It is easy to determine directly the kernel of  $f^*$ . We have  $f^*(K_{C_1}) = K_{B_1}$ , where  $K$  denotes the canonical divisor class of a curve. In order to deal with positive divisors, we will look at  $(f^*)^{-1}K_{B_1}$ , rather than  $(f^*)^{-1}(0)$ . We have  $(f^*)^{-1}K_{B_1} \subset \frac{1}{2}(2K_{C_1})$ , where the last

expression is a notation for the set of all the 16 halves of the divisor class  $2K_{C_1}$ . They can be represented by  $K_{C_1}$  itself and 15 other divisors  $(\xi_i, 0) + (\xi_j, 0)$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$ ,  $i \neq j$ , where  $\xi_i$  runs over five roots of  $P_5(\xi)$  and  $\infty$ ; let us number them so that  $\xi_5 = 0$ ,  $\xi_6 = \infty$ . If we apply  $f^*$  to any of these 15 divisors, we will obtain a divisor of degree four, and we have to determine whether it lies in the canonical linear system  $|K_{B_1}|$  or not. Since any 1-form on  $B_1$  can be written as  $(a + bu + cu^2) \frac{du}{\mu}$ , the positive canonical divisors are exactly the sums of four points of the form  $(u_1, \mu_1) + (u_2, \mu_2) + (u_1, -\mu_1) + (u_2, -\mu_2)$ . Only  $(0, 0) + (\infty, 0)$ , among the 15 non-trivial halves of  $2K_{C_1}$ , provides a divisor of such a form. Hence  $\ker f^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $|\ker \varphi| = 8$ .

One can identify the kernel of  $f^*$  in a different way. The following assertion reproduces lemma 5.3.2 from the appendix to [Au].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $Z$  be a non-singular curve,  $\mathcal{D}$  an element of order two in  $\text{Pic}^0(Z)$ . Let  $f : Y \rightarrow Z$  be the unramified double covering defined by  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then the kernel of the map*

$$f^* : \text{Pic}(Z) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$$

*is the subgroup of order two, generated by  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

This lemma implies that our double covering  $f : B_1 \rightarrow C_1$  is defined by the 2-torsion element  $\mathcal{D} = [(0, 0) - (\infty, 0)] \in J(C_1)$ . Note that Audin mentions Kuznetsov's curve  $B_1$  in the last lines of her book, but does not identify it as such (her notation for  $B_1$  is  $\tilde{X}$ ). Audin also provides a coordinate-free construction of  $B_1$ : it is the normalization of the Cartesian product  $E_1 \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_1$  (see *loc.cit.*, proposition 5.3.1).

**Corollary 1.** *The Prym variety  $P(B_1/E_1)$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $J(C_1)$  by the subgroup generated by the element  $\mathcal{D}$  of order two which defines the unramified covering map  $f$ .*

The kernel of  $\varphi$  can be identified as the set of divisor classes  $[f^*((\xi_i, 0) - (\xi_j, 0))]$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$ ,  $i \neq j$ , among which there are only eight distinct ones. They form a subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 3}$  of the group  $P(B_1/E_1)_2 \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus 4}$  of points of order two in  $P(B_1/E_1)$ . Note that  $\varphi(P(B_1/E_1)_2) = \{0, \mathcal{D}\}$ , and that the Richelot isogeny  $R : J(C_1) \rightarrow J(C_2)$  transforms  $\mathcal{D}$  into 0. Hence  $P(B_1/E_1)_2 \subset \ker(R \circ \varphi)$ . The composition  $R \circ \varphi$  thus factors through the multiplication by 2 on  $P(B_1/E_1)$ .

Now, similarly, consider the spectral curve  $B = B_2$  from the previous section and complete it to the diagram (2) in using formulae, analogous to (9) and (10). We are using the same characters for the notation of variables, but mark them with tildes. We obtain

$$C'_2 = \{\tilde{\eta}^2 = \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{\xi}^2 - 2H\tilde{\xi} + \frac{1}{4}I_2)(\tilde{\xi}^2 - 2H\tilde{\xi} + \frac{1}{4}I_2 - 1)\} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u} &= \sqrt{\tilde{\xi}} & \tilde{\xi} &= \tilde{u}^2 \\ \tilde{\mu} &= \frac{2\tilde{\eta}}{\sqrt{\tilde{\xi}}} & \tilde{\eta} &= \frac{\tilde{\mu}\tilde{u}}{2} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$B_2 = \{\tilde{\mu}^2 = 4(\tilde{u}^4 - 2H\tilde{u}^2 + \tilde{\kappa} - \frac{1}{2})(\tilde{u}^4 - 2H\tilde{u}^2 + \tilde{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2})\}. \tag{13}$$

The change of the equation of  $B_2$  from the form (8) to (13) is given by  $\tilde{\mu} = \lambda + 2(u^4 - 2Hu^2 + \tilde{\kappa})$ ,  $\tilde{u} = u$ . Equation (11) differs from the BRS equation (7) only by the signs of terms with  $H$ . We can transform one to the other by the change of variables  $y = i\tilde{\eta}$ ,  $x = -\tilde{\xi}$ ; thus

$C'_2 \simeq C_2$ . The equation of  $E_2$  is obtained by the substitution of  $\tilde{u}^2 = \tilde{\xi}$  in (13). We can repeat all the above arguments in replacing (1), (9), (10) by (2), (11)–(13).

We summarize the relations between the above curves in the following statement.

**Theorem 3.** *Let the curves  $B_i, C_i, E_i$  and the maps  $f, \tilde{f}, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}$  be defined as above. Then we have the following sequence of isogenies:*

$$P(B_1/E_1) \xrightarrow{f_*} J(C_1) \xrightarrow{\text{Richelet}} J(C_2) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^*} P(B_2/E_2)$$

*of degrees eight, four and two, respectively, their kernels being direct sums of copies of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . The following assertions are verified.*

(a) *The composition  $P(B_1/E_1) \xrightarrow{f_*} J(C_1) \xrightarrow{\text{Richelet}} J(C_2)$  is an isogeny of degree 32 whose kernel contains all the points of order two of  $P(B_1/E_1)$ . Hence it factors through the multiplication by 2 on  $P(B_1/E_1)$ , and there exists an isogeny  $P(B_1/E_1) \rightarrow J(C_2)$  of degree two.*

(b) *The composition of all three isogenies is an isogeny of degree 64 which factors through the multiplication by 2, hence there exists also an isogeny of order four  $P(B_1/E_1) \rightarrow P(B_2/E_2)$  with kernel  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*The same assertions hold for the maps in the opposite direction.*

(c) *The elliptic curves  $E_1, E_2$  are non-isogeneous for generic constants of motion  $I_2$  and  $H$ .*

The fact that the elliptic curves are non-isogeneous for generic constants of motion follows from the observation that if we fix the value of  $I_2$  and vary  $H$ , then the  $j$ -invariant of  $E_1$  will be constant, but that of  $E_2$  will vary. We can obtain a generic curve  $E_2$  by such a variation in keeping  $E_1$  fixed, hence they are non-isogeneous.

## Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to V B Kuznetsov and I V Komarov for discussions and for supplying formulae for section 3. The author also acknowledges with pleasure the hospitality of the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik at Bonn, where he wrote this paper.

## References

- [Au] Audin M 1996 *Spinning Tops, a Course on Integrable Systems (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics vol 51)* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [BM] Bost J-B and Mestre J-F 1988 Moyenne arithmético-géométrique et période des courbes de genre 1 et 2 *Gaz. Math. Soc. France* **38** 36–64
- [BRS] Bobenko A I, Reyman A G and Semenov-Tian-Shansky M A 1989 The Kowalevski top 99 years later—a Lax pair, generalizations and explicit solutions *Commun. Math. Phys.* **122** 321–54
- [CF] Cassels J W S and Flynn E V 1996 *Prolegomena to a Middlebrow Arithmetic of Curves of Genus 2 (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series vol 230)* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [H] Humbert G 1901 Sur la transformation ordinaire des fonctions abéliennes *J. Math.* **7** 5
- [K1] Kuznetsov V B 1985 Quasiclassical quantization of the Kowalevski top *MSc Thesis* Leningrad University
- [K2] Kuznetsov V B 1989 Applications of the inverse scattering method to 2-dimensional classical and quantum integrable systems *PhD Thesis* Leningrad University
- [KK] Komarov I V and Kuznetsov V B 1987 Quasiclassical quantization of the Kowalevski top *Theor. Math. Phys.* **73** 1255–63
- [Kow] Kowalevski S 1889 Sur le problème de la rotation d'un corps solide autour d'un point fixe *Acta Math.* **14** 177–232
- [KT] Kuznetsov V B and Tsiganov A V 1989 A special case of Neumann's system and the Kowalevski–Goryachev–Chaplygin top *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** L73–9

- 
- [LM] Leprévost F and Markushevich D 1999 A tower of genus-2 curves related to the Kowalevski top *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **514** 103–11
- [R1] Richelot F 1836 Essai sur une méthode générale pour déterminer la valeur des intégrales ultra-elliptiques, fondée sur des transformations remarquables de ces transcendentes *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris* **2** 622–7
- [R2] Richelot F 1837 De transformatione integralium Abelianorum primiordinis commentation *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **16** 221–341
- [R-STs] Reyman A G and Semenov-Tian-Shansky M A 1987 Lax representation with a spectral parameter for the Kowalevski top and its generalizations *Lett. Math. Phys.* **14** 55–61